IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.M.JOSEPH

MONDAY, THE 23RD JULY 2007 / 1ST SRAVANA 1929

Con.Case(C).No. 1016 of 2007(S)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN WPC.19615/2007 Dated 27/06/2007

.....

PETITIONER-PETITIONER:

THE BOARD OF DIREDTORS OF THE
CHERTHALA AGRICULTURAL MARKETING AND PROCESSING
CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETY LTD.NO.4218, SHERTHALAI,
REPRESENTED BY ITS CHAIRMAN, K.N.SYED MOHAMMED.

BY ADV. SRI.V.G.ARUN
SRI.T.R.HARIKUMAR

RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENT 1 TO 3:

---

1. V. RAGHUNATH, AGED 54 YEARS,
FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN, THE REGISTRAR OF
CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES, TRIVANDRUM.

2. C.C. MANI, AGED 53 YEARS,
FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN, JOINT REGISTRAR OF
CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES (GENERAL), ALLEPPEY.

3. A.K. BHANU, AGED 48 YEARS,
FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN, ASSISTANT REGISTRAR OF
CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES (GENERAL), CHERTHALA.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 23/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.M.JOSEPH, J.

- -

Cont. Case (C) No. 1016 of 2007

- -

Dated this the 23rd day of July, 2007

JUDGMENT

Even though the order of supersession came to be passed after the judgment in question, I note that extreme regret has been expressed and unconditional apology is also tendered by the second respondent before this court. In obedience to the interim order passed in the subsequent writ petition, charge has been handed over to the petitioner. No doubt Sri.T.R.Harikumar, learned counsel for the petitioner points out that the respondents continue to blame the petitioner that the petitioner had not informed the Officer of the judgment. He submits that it is untrue. Having regard to the totality of facts, I would think that there is no need to pursue this matter further.

The Contempt of Court Case is closed.

(K.M. JOSEPH, JUDGE)

sb